

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 MAY 22 AM 9: 27

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Benitez
 FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE 5/14/2008 BY RM DEPUTY
 CASE NO.: 08cv0016 BEN (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner
 CASE TITLE: Camacho v. Hernandez
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Extension of Time

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X	<u>OTHER: No original signature.</u>

Date forwarded: 5/15/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge BenitezDated: 05/19/08
cc: All PartiesBy: AYC

1 Felix Camacho
2 R.J. D.C.F.
3 480 Alta Road
4 San Diego, CA 92179
5 # V-12155
6
7
8

ORIGINAL

REJECTED

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 FELIX CAMACHO,
12 Petitioner,

Civil No. 08cv00016-BEN (LSP)

13 v.

REQUEST FOR
EXTENSION OF
TIME

14 ROBERT HERNANDEZ, Warden,
15 Respondent.
16

17 On May 5, 2008, petitioner, proceeding pro
18 se, received in the mail the order reopening
19 petitioner's case and setting the briefing
20 schedule. This order was dated February
21 25, 2008 and set the filing date for
22 traverse as May 21, 2008.

23 Where petitioner is temporarily in
24 administrative segregation and without
25 immediate access to his legal paperwork
26 and limited access to the legal library,
27 petitioner humbly asks for an exten-
28 sion of 60 days in order for